

## REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of June 11, 2008 is gratefully acknowledged.

The specification has been amended to provide a new title, a reference to the prior filed PCT application, although such a reference is not deemed necessary, a correction to pages 6 and 7 of the specification, and a REPLACEMENT SHEET for each of the figures.


The rejection of claims 8 - 14 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as indefinite is noted. In reply, claims 8, 11 and 14 have been amended and claims 10, 12 and 13 have been cancelled to overcome the indefiniteness rejection and to better define the invention over the art of record. As amended, claims 8, 9 11 and 14 are believed to be in full compliance with the provisions of 35 USC 112 and to patentably distinguish over the art of record..

Finally, the reference in the official filing receipt to an EP priority application is correct.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and r-examination are respectfully requested and claims 8, 9, 11 and 14 found allowable.

Date: September 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,  
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

  
Felix J. D'Ambrosio  
Registration No: 25,721

**Customer Number \*23364\***  
**BACON & THOMAS**  
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
Phone: (703) 683-0500

S:\Producer\jfd\CLIENTS\Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH\KILI3002-EH0607\Sept 11 2008 Response.wpd